



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

A standard linear barcode is displayed vertically on the left side of the book cover.

3 1761 10083705 3

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Brenda Cossman & Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2017-2018

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

KE
538.5
.C672
2017
v.3
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

JAN 11 2018

UNIVERSITY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume III

Brenda Cossman & Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2017-2018

* * *

***These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto***



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/familylawcases03coss>

FAMILY LAW
Table of Contents
Volume III

VII. CHILD SUPPORT

A. Support Obligations: Child Support and Spousal Support

Notes:

1. Relationship between Child and Spousal Support.....	522
2. Jurisdiction	522
3. Components of a Support Analysis	523
4. Tax Treatment of Child and Spousal Support.....	523

B. Principles of Child Support

John Eekelaar and Mavis Maclean, “The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations: The Common Law”, ch. 6 in MT Meulders-Klein and J Eekelaar, eds, <i>Family State and Individual Economic Security</i> ” (1988), pp. 147-148	524
John Eekelaar, “Maintenance and Children” from <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> (1984), p 111	525
<i>Paras v Paras</i> (1970), [1971] 1 OR 130 (Ont CA)	526
Carol Rogerson, “Judicial Interpretation of the Spousal and Child Support Provisions of the <i>Divorce Act, 1985</i> (Part II)” (1990) 7 <i>CFLQ</i> 271 at 273-274, 276-277, 279, 283	527
<i>Lucas v Lucas</i> (1990), 25 RFL (3d) 50 (Ont Dist Ct).....	528

C. The Child Support Guidelines (CSG)

(a) Introduction and Overview

Carol Rogerson, “Child support, spousal support and the turn to guidelines” ch. 2.7 in J Eekelaar and R George, eds, <i>Routledge Handbook of Family Law and Policy</i> (2014), pp. 154-158	532
Federal/Provincial/Territorial Family Law Committee, <i>Summary Report and Recommendations on Child Support</i> (1995), pp 3-5	536
Government of Canada, <i>The New Child Support Package</i> (March 6, 1996)	538
Note: Federal and Provincial Guidelines	540

(b) Determining Income

<i>Lavergne v Lavergne</i> , 2007 ABCA 169	542
Rollie Thompson, “Slackers, Shirkers and Career Changers: Imputing Income for the Under/Unemployed” (2007) 26 <i>CFLQ</i> 135 at 135-149, 170-171	546
<i>Odendahl v Brule</i> (1999), 45 RFL (4 th) 37 (Ont Gen Div)	551
<i>Montgomery v Montgomery</i> (2000), 3 RFL (5 th) 126 (NS CA).....	554
Note: American Law Institute (ALI) Recommendations.....	557
<i>Drygala v Pauli</i> (2002), 29 RFL (5 th) 293 (Ont CA).....	558
<i>Tillmans v Tillmans</i> , 2014 ONSC 6773	562
Note: <i>Rogers v Rogers</i> , 2013 ONSC 1997	566
Note: <i>Koch v Koch</i> , 2012 BCCA 378	566

(c) High Earners: Incomes over \$150,000

<i>Francis v Baker</i> , [1993] 3 SCR 250	567
Note: Subsequent Cases on High Earners: <i>Simon v Simon</i> (1999), 1 RFL (5 th) 119 (Ont CA); <i>Tauber v Tauber</i> , (2000), 6 RFL (5 th) 442 (Ont CA); <i>R v R</i> (2002), 24 RFL (5 th) 96 (Ont CA); <i>Desrochers v Tait</i> (2009), 70 RFL (6 th) 178

Page No.

(Ont SCJ); <i>Ewing v Ewing</i> , 2009 ABCA 227; <i>McNeil v McNeil</i> , 2013 NBCA 65	570
(d) Section 7—Special and Extraordinary Expenses	
Note: 2006 Amendment, s. 7(1.1).....	574
<i>McLaughlin v McLaughlin</i> (1998), 44 RFL (4 th) 148 (BC CA)	574
Note: Amendment of section 7	583
<i>Simpson v Trowdsdale</i> 2007 PESCTD 3	586
(e) Undue Hardship—Section 10	
<i>Schenkeveld v Shenkeveld</i> (2002), 23 RFL (5 th) (Man CA).....	594
<i>Gaetz v Gaetz</i> (2001), 15 RFL (5 th) 73 (NS CA).....	597
Rollie Thompson, “Case Comment: <i>Gaetz v. Gaetz</i> ” (2001), 15 RFL (5 th) 82 at 82-87	599
(f) Shared Custody—Section 9	
Introductory Note.....	602
Rollie Thompson, “The TLC of Shared Parenting: Time Language and Cash” (2013) 32 <i>CFLQ</i> 315 at 323-326, 328-330, 332.....	602
<i>Froom v Froom</i> (2005), 11 RFL (4 th) 254 (Ont CA)	605
<i>L(L) v C(M)</i> 2013 ONSC 1801	606
<i>Contino v Leonelli-Contino</i> , 2005 SCC 63, [2005] 3 SCR 217,.....	613
Thompson, “The TLC of Shared Parenting,” above, at 332-337, 339	628
Note: Proposed Changes to the Shared Custody Provisions	634
(g) Contracting Out of the Guidelines	
Note.....	635
<i>Gobeil v Gobeil</i> , 2007 MBCA 4	636
(h) Retroactive Child Support	
(<i>S</i>) <i>DB v G(SR)</i> (referred to as <i>DBS</i>), 2006 SCC 37, [2006] 2 SCR 231.....	641
Judith Huddart, “SCC’s decision on annual disclosure threaten’s children’s Interests”, <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , September 22, 2006.....	657
Note: Mandatory Annual Disclosure of Income under the Ontario CSG.....	659
Anu Osborne and Michael Williams, “Lower income women benefit from support recalculation service” <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , June 9, 2007.....	659
Note: Administrative Calculation and Recalculation of Child Support in Ontario	661
D. Entitlement to Child Support: Who is a Parent? Who is a Child?	
(a) Children Over the Age of Majority	
Nicholas Bala & Colleen Feehan, “Child Support for Adult Children: When Does Economic Childhood End?” Queen’s Faculty of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper, Working Paper No. 08-01 (April 23, 2008), pp 1-8, 49-50	663
<i>Rebenchuk v Rebenchuk</i> , 2007 MBCA 22.....	667
Bala & Feehan, “Child Support for Adult Children,” above, at 32-38.....	680
Cristin Schmitz, “Lawyers debate injecting ‘fault’ back into adult child support” <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , June 23, 2006.....	683
<i>Moore-Orlowski v Johnston</i> , 2006 SKQB 279	685
(b) <i>In Loco Parentis</i>	
Nichola Bala & Meaghan Thomas, “Who is a ‘Parent’? ‘Standing in the Place of a Parent’ and Canada’s Child Support Guidelines, s. 5”, Queen’s Faculty of Law, Legal Studies Research Paper, No. 07-11 (July 12, 2007), pp 1-15, 23-26	690
<i>Chartier v Chartier</i> , [1999] 1 SCR 242.....	694

Bala & Thomas, "Who is a 'Parent?", above, at 31-39	702
Note: Assessing Support Against a Step-parent Under the Guidelines.....	704
<i>H(UV) v H(MW)</i> 2008 BCCA 177	705
Note: <i>Pigeau v Pigeau</i> , 2009 CarswellOnt 2102 (Ont SCJ).....	715
VIII. SPOUSAL SUPPORT	
A. Some Preliminary Issues of Jurisdiction and Standing	
Note.....	716
B. The Principles of Spousal Support	
Carol Rogerson, "The Canadian Law of Spousal Support" (extract on "The Challenge of Spousal Support") (2004) 38 <i>FLQ</i> 69 at 71-73	717
John Eekelaar and Mavis Maclean, "The Evolution of Private Law Maintenance Obligations", ch. 6 in M T Meulders-Klein and J Eekelaar, eds., <i>Family State and Individual Economic Security</i> (1988), pp 143-146.....	718
Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Maintenance on Divorce: Working Paper 12</i> (1975), pp 17-20	719
Carol Rogerson, "Spousal Support After <i>Moge</i> " (1997) 14 <i>CFLQ</i> 281 at 285-286.....	720
<i>Messier v Delage</i> , [1983] 2 SCR 401	721
C. The Evolution of the Law of Spousal Support in Canada	
Carol Rogerson & Rollie Thompson, "The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines" (2011) 45 <i>FLQ</i> 241 at 241-248	724
Note: The Supreme Court of Canada "Trilogy".....	727
<i>Pelech v Pelech</i> , [1987] 1 SCR 801.....	727
<i>Richardson v Richardson</i> , [1987] 1 SCR 857	734
<i>Moge v Moge</i> , [1992] 3 SCR 813	741
Carol Rogerson, "The Canadian Law of Spousal Support" (2004) 38 <i>FLQ</i> 69 at 83-87	756
<i>Bracklow v Bracklow</i> , [1999] 1 SCR 420.....	758
<i>Leskun v Leskun</i> , 2006 SCC 25, [2006] 1 SCR 920,	769
<i>Chutter v Chutter</i> , 2008 BCCA 507	776
D. The Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines (SSAG)	
Carol Rogerson & Rollie Thompson, "The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines" (extract on "Developing Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines") (2011) 45 <i>FLQ</i> 241 at 249-251	780
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (Dept. of Justice, Canada, July 2008), Executive Summary, pp vii-xii	782
Rogerson & Thompson, "The Canadian Experiment," above (extract on "Early Responses and Use of the SSAG") at 258-260.....	786
E. Some Without Child Support Examples	
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 7, "The Without Child Support Formula"), pp 51-53, 66-71	788
<i>Fisher v Fisher</i> , 2008 ONCA 11	792
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 12, "Exceptions, Illness and Disability"), pp 71, 116-117, 121-124	808
F. Some With Child Support Examples	
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 8 "The With Child Support Formula"), pp 79-86	811

G. Assessment of the Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines	
Carol Rogerson and Rollie Thompson, “The Canadian Experiment with Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines” (extract on “An Early Assessment of the Advisory Guidelines”) (2011) 45 <i>FLQ</i> 241 at 261-269.....	814
H. Variation of Spousal Support	
<i>Spousal Support Advisory Guidelines</i> (2008) (extracts from ch. 14 “Variation, Review, Remarriage and Second Families), pp 141-150	819
IX. DOMESTIC CONTRACTS	
A. Introduction: Contracting in the Family Context	
<i>Balfour v Balfour</i> , [1919] 2 KB 571 (CA).....	825
<i>Harper’s Magazine</i> , “Conditional Love”, February 1996.....	825
Katherine O’Donovan, <i>Sexual Divisions in Law</i> (1985), pp 187-94.....	826
Robert Mnookin, “Divorce Bargaining: the Limits on Private Ordering” in J Eekelaar and S Katz, eds, <i>The Resolution of Family Conflict</i> (1984), pp 366-372	829
B. The Legal Framework for Domestic Contracts	
Introductory Note.....	833
(a) property agreements.....	834
(b) spousal support agreements	834
(c) child support agreements	834
(d) other agreements about children.....	835
C. Setting Aside Agreements: Inadequate Disclosure and the General Principles of Contract Law	
Berend Hovius, <i>Family Law</i> (1992), p 632	836
(a) Inadequate Disclosure	
<i>LeVan v LeVan</i> , 2008 ONCA 388	836
(b) The Law of Contract: Unconscionability	
Note: Unconscionability	845
<i>Rosen v Rosen</i> (1994), 3 RFL (4 th) 270 (Ont CA)	846
Note: <i>Hartshorne v Hartshorne</i> , 2004 SCC 22, [2004] 1 SCR 550	848
<i>Rick v Brandsema</i> , 2009 SCC 10, [2009] 1 SCR 295.....	851
Notes and Questions	858
<i>Toscano v Toscano</i> , 2015 ONSC 487	859
<i>Tadayon v Mahtashami</i> , 2015 ONCA 77	870
D. Spousal Support Agreements	
Introductory Note.....	875
<i>Miglin v Miglin</i> , [2003] 1 SCR 303	876
Note: <i>Hartshorne v Hartshorne</i> , [2004] 1 SCR 550; <i>Rick v Brandsema</i> , [2009] 1 SCR 295; <i>LMP v L</i> , [2011] 3 SCR 775.....	902
Carol Rogerson, “Spousal Support Agreements and the Legacy of <i>Miglin</i> ” (2012) 31 <i>CFLQ</i> 13 at 13-23, 52-60.....	903